

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 3
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0018	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 12 June 2012	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REG. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (if applicable)		
6. ISSUED BY DLA Troop Support, Directorate of Subsistence 700 Robbins Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19111 POC: Linda L. Ford / FTA / 215-737-7804	CODE SPM300	7. ADMINISTERED BY (if other than item 6)		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)				(X) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. SPM300-11-R-0005	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 13 October 2010
				10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (if required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

(X) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.

B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(D).

C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:

D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return ONE (1) copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

Requirement: for Full Food Line Distribution in Southwest Asia.
Detail: of this Amendment are provided on the following pages.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) LINDA L. FORD, Contracting Officer	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED

1. On page 156 of the solicitation, (5) Contract Award (Paragraph g), delete and replace the "Award Details" section as follows:

AWARD DETAILS

Offerors may submit proposal(s) for one zone, both zones independently, or they may submit proposal(s) for a zone which is contingent upon receiving the award in the other zone (a combined, contingent proposal).

It is the intent of the Government to make two awards, one award for Zone 1 and one award for Zone 2. Each zone will be independently evaluated for best value. Additionally, zones will be awarded in the order of size (dollar value). The apparent successful offeror will be determined for the largest zone first then the smaller zone second, i.e. Zone 2, and then Zone 1. The apparent successful offeror in Zone 2 will not be excluded from consideration of an award in Zone 1. If no combined, contingent offers are received, award will be made to the apparent successful offeror in each individual zone.

If an offeror submits a combined, contingent proposal, the Government will evaluate each contingent technical proposal to determine if the overall technical proposal (for 1 or 2 zones) meets the requirements for the zones proposed. The combined contingent proposal will be given an overall technical rating based on the evaluation factors included in the solicitation under the addendum to FAR Clause 52.212-2. The evaluated price for all zones will be calculated in accordance with FAR Clause 52.212-2 and its addendum. A best value tradeoff evaluation will then be made between the combined, contingent offer(s) and the apparent successful offer for each individual zone. An award will be made in each zone to the best value offeror(s).

2. On page 164 of the solicitation, (e) – Inspection and Sanitation Procedures, paragraph (2), delete and replace the last sentence as follows:

Offerors will furnish copies of the most recent inspection reports to include all in-house and third party pest management control and sanitation audit reports (including VETCOM sanitation audit reports, if applicable). Reports must be submitted for each of the proposed OCONUS warehouse distribution facilities.

3. On page 166 of the solicitation, (ii) Factor II – Experience/Past Performance, paragraph (A) Experience, Element 1 (Size and Complexity), delete and replace the first sentence as follows:

Provide a brief performance record of up to five (5) of your highest dollar value or most comparable Prime Vendor/Regular dealer contracts, for the prime contractor and major subcontractors (the "selected contracts"), whether they are commercial or Government contracts with similar size and complexity (e.g., 85%-100% of the estimated dollar value, number of delivery stops, number of delivery orders, etc.) of requirements as those found in this solicitation.

4. On page 184 of the solicitation, (E) Inspection and Sanitation Procedures, delete and replace Element 2 – Sanitation Procedures as follows:

Element 2 – Sanitation Procedures – The Government will evaluate the offeror's sanitary control procedures, stored products pest management program, pest management control reports, and sanitation audit reports for each of the proposed OCONUS warehouse distribution facilities. Sophisticated levels of automation, detailed and systemic programs and food establishments that have VETCOM sanitation audit approval in compliance with DLAD 52.246-9044 Sanitary Conditions will generally be rated more favorably.

5. On page 186 of the solicitation, delete and replace the last three paragraphs as follows:

Offerors may submit proposal(s) for one zone, both zones independently, or they may submit proposal(s) for a zone which is contingent upon receiving the award in the other zone (a combined, contingent proposal).

It is the intent of the Government to make two awards, one award for Zone 1 and one award for Zone 2. Each zone will be independently evaluated for best value. Additionally, zones will be awarded in the order of size (dollar value). The apparent successful offeror will be determined for the largest zone first then the smaller zone second, i.e. Zone 2, and then Zone 1. The apparent successful offeror in Zone 2 will not be excluded from consideration of an award in Zone 1. If no combined, contingent offers are received, award will be made to the apparent successful offeror in each individual zone.

If an offeror submits a combined, contingent proposal, the Government will evaluate each contingent technical proposal to determine if the overall technical proposal (for 1 or 2 zones) meets the requirements for the zones proposed. The combined contingent proposal will be given an overall technical rating based on the evaluation factors included in the solicitation under the addendum to FAR Clause 52.212-2. The evaluated price for all zones will be calculated in accordance with FAR Clause 52.212-2 and its addendum. A best value tradeoff evaluation will then be made between the combined, contingent offer(s) and the apparent successful offer for each individual zone. An award will be made in each zone to the best value offeror(s).